

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/668,180 09/24/2003		Davide Bonatti	2545-0432	5382		
42624	7590 09/17/2004	EXAMINER				
DAVIDSON BERQUIST KLIMA & JACKSON LLP 4501 NORTH FAIRFAX DRIVE, SUITE 920			VALENZA, JOSEPH E			
ARLINGTON, VA 22203		116 920	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			3651			

DATE MAILED: 09/17/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		T 4 12 12 14	<del></del>	1 4 11 47 3				
Office Action Summary		Applicat		Applicant(s)		4		
		10/668,		BONATTI ET AL.				
	omee Action Cummary	Examine		Art Unit				
	The MAN INC DATE of the	Joseph '		3651				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communica or Reply	tion appears on th	ne cover sheet with the (	correspondence add	dress			
THE   - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any (	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communiperiod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) deperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statute re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will reply received by the Office later than three months after ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION.  17 CFR 1.136(a). In no ecation.  ays, a reply within the state or period will apply and will apply apply and will apply apply and will apply and will apply apply apply apply and will apply appl	vent, however, may a reply be tile atutory minimum of thirty (30) day will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from optication to become ABANDONE	mely filed  ys will be considered timely  the mailing date of this co  ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on						
2a)□	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)		non-final.					
3)□	·							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the app 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction	withdrawn from co						
Applicati	on Papers							
9)[	The specification is objected to by the E	xaminer.						
10)	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection	n to the drawing(s)	be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the The oath or declaration is objected to b	•	• ,	•	` '			
-	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119	•						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachmen								
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔯 Inforr	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT r No(s)/Mail Date <u>2/24/04</u> .		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:		)-152)			

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 1-15 and 17-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Vierling or German reference '515 or French reference '166.

With regard to claim 6, the French reference does not apply. With regard to claim 9, note cam 14 of French reference '166. With regard to claim 20, only Vierling applies.

2. Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Vierling or German reference '515 or French reference '166.

With regard to claim 16, the design of the actuating means has not been proven to be critical to the operation of the system in comparison to the functionally equivalent actuating means 65, etc. of Vierling.

- 3. Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph for being indefinite due to a lack of proper antecedents for "cam profile means".
- 4. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Joseph E. Valenza at telephone number (703) 308-2577. Amendments may be faxed to (703) 872-9306. My normal work week is Monday through Thursday.

JOSEPH E. VALENZA PRIMARY EXAMINER